

Comments on Design and Access Statement Appendix 1 - Design Principles Version 4

AIPUT note the visual appearance and design intended for the proposed decked car parks (including Car Park X). However, AIPUT request that the access arrangements and usage of Car Park X is specifically stated within the Draft Development Consent Order and secured via requirement. The Applicant stated in their Deadline 3 Submission, The Applicants Response to Written Representations, that:

“The proposal (Car Park X) is for users of the relocated Purple Parking car park at Car Park X to use a dedicated access from Charlwood Road, separate to the remaining area of Car Park X, which will continue to be accessed from Perimeter Road South. This means that only airport employees (either staff or valet drivers) will require access to car parking off Perimeter Road South”.

AIPUT welcome the clarification on the matter and GAL’s assurance that there will be “... no traffic-related impact on the accessibility or operations of premises along Perimeter Road South including Viking House and Gatwick Gate”. AIPUT request that these details (as well as further details such as the number of users via Perimeter South Road / Charlwood Road) be secured via requirement / appropriate control document such as a Staff Travel Plan or Car Parking Strategy.

Comments on Book of Reference

The Book of Reference has been updated with an address change for Airport Industrial GP Limited and Airport Industrial Nominees Limited. Furthermore, both Airport Industrial GP Limited and Airport Industrial Nominees Limited have had their interest removed in plot 4/594 due to a ‘duplicate interest’. AIPUT acknowledge these changes.

Comments on Statement of Common Ground between Gatwick Airport Limited and National Highways (Tracked)

AIPUT note that the VISSIM Modelling has been shared with National Highways as part of the SoCG to determine the impacts on the road network during the construction phase. Motion (on behalf of AIPUT) requested in their Deadline 3 submission that the VISSIM Modelling for construction and operation is submitted into Examination for public comments. AIPUT would again request that the VISSIM modelling is made available for public comment.

The Applicant's Response to Deadline 4 Submissions Version 1

AIPUT notes GAL’s response to their Deadline 4 Submission which raised various concerns around traffic and transport. AIPUT are aware of the commitments and information alluded to by GAL in Table 27 (Document Ref. 10.38). The points raised by Motion (on behalf of AIPUT) remain a topic of disagreement between AIPUT and the Applicant. As such, the discrepancy is for the Inspector to take a view on within the Examination. AIPUT’s position in their Deadline 4 submission in relation to Traffic and Transport remains unchanged.

Other Comments

The Applicant submitted Change Request 1 on 21 February 2024 after notifying the Examining Authority of the intended change in November 2023. AIPUT would like to raise the question as to whether the Change Request has been formally accepted by the Examining Authority or if they intend to do so in order allow Interested Parties to submit their formal comments into the Examination.